

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/714,501	ZHANG ET AL.	
	Examiner NATHAN BLOOM	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:(1) NATHAN BLOOM.**Status of Application:** After Final

(3) _____.

(2) Edward Mao.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 March 2008**Time:** 1:50 PM EST**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3 and 5-6

Prior art documents discussed:

Liu (US 6731685)

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Nathan Bloom/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amendment of claims 3 and 6 to correct a spelling error, and the cancellation of claim 5 due to presented art (Liu US 6731685). Examiner further informed applicant that fees would be charged for the required extension of time for the Examiner's Amendment. Applicants agreed to the proposed amendment, and requested that the appropriate fees would be charged for the amendment and also that the appropriate fees would be credited for the reduction of the number of independent claims..